



# Opium Security Analysis

by Pessimistic

This report is public.

Published: December 25, 2020

|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Abstract.....                   | 2 |
| Disclaimer .....                | 2 |
| Summary.....                    | 2 |
| General recommendations .....   | 2 |
| Procedure.....                  | 3 |
| Project overview.....           | 4 |
| Project description .....       | 4 |
| Latest version of the code..... | 4 |
| Manual analysis.....            | 5 |
| Critical issues.....            | 5 |
| Medium severity issues.....     | 5 |
| Low severity issues.....        | 6 |
| Code quality (fixed) .....      | 6 |
| Compiler version .....          | 6 |

# Abstract

In this report, we consider the security of staking smart contracts of [Opium Network](#) project. Our task is to find and describe security issues in smart contracts of the platform.

# Disclaimer

The audit does not give any warranties on the security of the code. One audit cannot be considered enough. We always recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts. Besides, security audit is not an investment advice.

# Summary

In this report, we considered the security of staking smart contracts of [Opium Network](#) project. We performed our audit according to the [procedure](#) described below.

The code is of high quality. The initial audit showed only a few issues of low severity. They do not endanger project security in any way.

After the audit, the code base was updated to the [latest version](#). In this version, the developers added the documentation, also code quality issues were fixed.

# General recommendations

We recommend moving to a modern Solidity version, as newer releases of the compiler have many improvements and optimizations.

# Procedure

In our audit, we consider the following crucial features of the code:

1. Whether code logic corresponds to the specification.
2. Whether the code is secure.
3. Whether the code meets best practices.

We perform our audit according to the following procedure:

- Automated analysis
  - We scan project's code base with automated tools: [Crytic](#), [MythX](#), and [SmartCheck](#).
  - We manually verify (reject or confirm) all the issues found by tools.
- Manual audit
  - We inspect the specification and check whether the logic of smart contracts is consistent with it.
  - We manually analyze code base for security vulnerabilities.
  - We assess overall project structure and quality.
- Report
  - We reflect all the gathered information in the report.

# Project overview

## Project description

In our analysis we consider [staking smart contracts](#) of [Opium Network](#) project on GitHub repository, commit [cecb4af449005199e23afe037f87d3150f251681](#).

The total LOC of audited sources is 313.

## Latest version of the code

After the initial audit, the code base was updated. For the recheck, we were provided with commit [a1a3518f6c1af90d4c196d1ee76d30f26ce0f8eb](#).

The [documentation](#) was added to the project.

# Manual analysis

The contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified. All the confirmed issues are described below.

## Critical issues

Critical issues seriously endanger smart contracts security. We highly recommend fixing them.

The audit showed no critical issues.

## Medium severity issues

Medium issues can influence project operation in current implementation. We highly recommend addressing them.

The audit showed no issues of medium severity.

## Low severity issues

Low severity issues can influence project operation in future versions of code. We recommend taking them into account.

### Code quality (fixed)

- In **OpiumStakingDerivatives** contract, `hedge()` function is `payable` for no reason.
- In **OpiumStakingDerivatives** contract at line 48, a visibility level is not declared for `premium` variable. Consider declaring it explicitly.
- Consider declaring functions as `external` instead of `public` where possible.

*The issues have been fixed and are not present in the latest version of the code.*

### Compiler version

An old version of compiler is used in the project: `pragma solidity 0.5.16;`

We recommend upgrading to a newer version, e.g. `0.7.4`.

This analysis was performed by Pessimistic:

Evgeny Marchenko, Senior Security Engineer

Boris Nikashin, Analyst

Alexander Seleznev, Founder

December 25, 2020